Sang-Duk LEE, et al. Application No.: 10/608,008

REMARKS

By this amendment, claim 45 has been amended. Claims 1-44 have been previously cancelled and claims 46-50 have been previously withdrawn from further consideration.

Accordingly, claims 45 and 51 are currently pending in the application, of which claims 45 and 51 are independent claims.

Entry of this Amendment is respectfully requested because it places the present application in condition for allowance, or in the alternative, better form for appeal. In view of the above Amendments and the following Remarks, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and timely withdrawal of the pending objections and rejections for the reasons discussed below.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 45 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U. S. Patent No. 6,583,843 to Ishino ("Ishino"). This rejection is respectfully traversed at least for the following reasons.

In the Office Action, the Examiner determined that Ishino is directly or indirectly resulting from an international (PCT) application filed before November 29, 2000, and asserted that the priority date of Ishino is the date when Japanese priority application was filed. This assertion is respectfully disagreed with.

An international (PCT) application is different from a non-provisional application claiming priority from a foreign application. Applicants believe that Ishino is not resulting from an international (PCT) application. Ishino was filed as a non-provisional application in February 10, 1999, claiming priority from Japanese Patent Application. Thus, Ishino's foreign priority cannot be used as 35 U.S.C. §102(e) reference date (MPEP 2136.03).

Application No.: 10/608,008

This application claims priority from Korean Patent Application No. 1998-34585, which

was filed on August 26, 1998. Since the priority date of this application antedates Ishino's filing

date, February 10, 1999, it is submitted that Ishino does not qualify as prior art under 35 U.S.C.

§102(e). Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection under 35

U.S.C. §102(e) of claim 45.

Double Patenting

Claims 45 and 51 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type

double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 17 and 37 of U. S. Patent No. 6,587,166

issued to Lee, et al. ("Lee"). Applicants respectfully traverses this rejection for at least the

following reasons.

To obviate the double patenting rejection, Applicants timely submit a Terminal

Disclaimer, attached hereafter. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the

double patenting rejection of claims 45 and 51.

Other Matters

In this response, claim 45 has been amended to correct the informalities therein.

--5--

Sang-Duk LEE, et al.

Application No.: 10/608,008

CONCLUSION

Applicants believe that a full and complete response has been made to the pending Office

Action and respectfully submit that all of the stated grounds for rejection have been overcome or

rendered moot. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that all pending claims are

allowable and that the application is in condition for allowance.

Should the Examiner feel that there are any issues outstanding after consideration of this

response, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicant's undersigned representative at the

number below to expedite prosecution.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Reply is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Hae-Chan Park

Reg. No. 50,114

Date: March 31, 2005

McGuireWoods LLP

1750 Tysons Boulevard

Suite 1800

McLean, VA 22102-4215

Tel: 703-712-5365

Fax: 703-712-5280

HCP:WSC/tmk

Attachment: TERMINAL DISCLAIMER

\COM\494890.1